



Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INV	ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-	08/913,0	56 10/22	/97	YAMAMOTO	•	N	KP~8240
Г	ROBERT J PATCH		HM12/1108			EXAMINER	
					ыст	MAN - 1	
	YOUNG & 745 S 231					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SUITE 20					161	•
	:		•				11/08/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 08/913056

Filing Date: 10/22/97

Appellant(s): N. Yamamoto et al.

Paper No. 17 Jate mailed 11/8/99

A.J. Patch
For Appellant

EXAMINER'S ANSWER

This is in response to appellant's brief on appeal filed 8/10/99.

(1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

(2) Related Appeals and Interferences

A statement identifying the related appeals and interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the decision in the pending appeal is contained in the brief.

(3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of the claims contained in the brief is correct.

(4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

(5) Summary of Invention

The summary of invention contained in the brief is correct.

(6) Issues

The appellant's statement of the issues in the brief is correct.

(7) Grouping of Claims

Applicants state 'that the claims are not grouped for appeal.

(8) Claims Appealed

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

(9) Prior Art of Record

\checkmark		
3,645,854	MASIZ	7-1997
3,645,854 5,750,141 4,557,934	ROBERTS	5-1998
X _{3,149,537}	AZRIA	9-1992
Pharmaceutical Research	KISSEL	1992
3-5427	JAPAN	1-1991
215697	EPA	3-1987
4,557,934	COOPER	12-1285
•		

(10) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claims 1-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(A). This rejection is set forth in prior Office action, Paper No. 10.

(11) Response to Argument

Applicants continue to maintain that MASIZ teaches only transdermal delivery.

Applicants now argue that transdermal delivery is through nasal or rectal mucosal tissue, however, they do not claim such a limitation of loci. Even if applicants did so limit the claims, MASIZ also teaches mucoid secretions (column 5 line 25).

Applicants assert that the examiner has introduced the word "ambient" in the advisory action, a word not found in the reference. However, the Examiner is merely responding to Applicants' use of the term in the first paragraph on page 4 in the "remarks" section of the amendment after final, paper #13, filed 5/11/99.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

Webman/Fisher November 3, 1999

> EDWARD JI WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500